1	TRANSCRIPT T-1
2	000
3	
4	
5	SANTA CLARA VTA / BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT
6	DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL EIR
7	PUBLIC HEARING
8	SAN JOSE CITY HALL
9	COMMITTEE ROOMS W118 & 119
10	200 EAST SANTA CLARA STREET
11	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
12	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 13TH, 2007
13	7:00 O'CLOCK P.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: DEBORAH FUQUA, CSR#12948
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	A P P E A R A N C E S
3	TOM FITZWATER
4	Principal Transportation Planner, VTA
5	
6	MOLLY GRAHAM
7	Moderator
8	
9	
10	PUBLIC SPEAKERS
11	William Garbett Richard Tretten
12	Christopher Frey Helen Garza
13	David Davenport
14	
15	Robert VanCleef (As read by the Moderator)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Tuesday, February 13, 2007 7:00 o'clock p.m. 1 2 -----3 PROCEEDINGS (Presentation given by Tom Fitzwater) 4 5 MOLLY GRAHAM: All right. So I have, at this point, one speaker card. We're happy to hand them out, 6 7 and Christina's got a whole bunch of them. 8 So with that, I will call up William Garbett. 9 And just a quick reminder on the overall 10 process, as I've reminded you, there's the blue speaker cards. There is a two-minute time limit. Jane Cruz 11 here has her little markers to remind people of when 12 13 you have 30 seconds remaining, and then she'll hold up 14 a final card once your time is up. 15 We have a court reporter here, who is taking 16 down everything so it's officially documented. And 17 then, we ask you to please focus your comments on the 18 actual document and the environmental findings. 19 And with that 20 WILLIAM GARBETT: William Garbett, speaking on 21 behalf of the public. In the Bible, it talks about 22 building on sinking sand. That's commonly known as 23 quicksand. In downtown San Jose, we know it as 24 alluvial sand and gravel that you're going to bore a tunnel through. Very boring. The first thing you're 25

T1-1

going to find out is that the first earthquake, that 1 2 tunnel is going to go and sink and fall apart. 3 Also, it is going to be built in an aquifer. T1-1 con't. You don't have flow measurements, seasonally, of the 4 flow through this brackish aquifer, which is just below 5 35 feet underground. So you have an underground river 6 7 that you're going to block with this tunnel. 8 This project is going to fail just like since 9 9/11 we had bombings in the London subways and 10 elsewhere. The subway is an outmoded method. This should be built aerial through San Jose, just like it 11 is built in Fremont. 12 13 You're also going to have problems because of the bogus ridership figures. If you want real 14 T1-2 15 ridership, you retain destination locations, such as 16 San Jose State University, for mass transit. And you 17 keep the flea market as being a flea market instead of 18 housing, and it will be a destination location for 19 vendors, and you won't have to relocate the San Jose 20 Police fencing location. 21 The light rail should have a downtown bypass. 22 And it should be terminated, the BART should be T1-3 23 terminated at the Diridon station. With a light rail 24 bypass in the downtown area to the airport, you will, 25 basically, accomplish all the transit goals and improve

ridership, and you can do it out of today's present 1 T1-3 con't. 2 budget without having to get someone like Jim Webb to 3 launder Mayor Gonsalez' money for the BART bonds. Thank you. 4 5 MOLLY GRAHAM: Thank you. We have one more, 6 Christopher Frey. 7 CHRISTOPHER FREY: I'm not sure that the impacts 8 has looked at how the train is going to cross over the 9 Guadalupe River and the Los Gatos Creek. And I want to T1-4 10 make sure that this crossing of these bodies of water does not impact, either temporarily nor permanently, 11 any existing or future planned multi-use trails that 12 13 are there. 14 There's an existing multi-use trail on the 15 Guadalupe River that's already been closed for the 16 Highway 87 construction. I don't want to see another 17 seven or eight years of that important transit option 18 being blocked again. 19 I also want to address the no-parking option 20 at Diridon. I think that's a very bad idea. I live a T1-5 21 couple blocks away from the Diridon station, and 22 parking is already very tight there, particularly when 23 there are games, when there are things going on 24 downtown. And I just see this being a potential disaster for those of us who live in the neighborhood, 25

bringing in a few thousand more cars who can't 1 T1-5 con't. 2 otherwise find a place to park who are going to spill 3 over into that neighborhood. Lastly, I want to address what I think is 4 5 there are too many stations being proposed along the line. And we're looking at maybe, what, a half an hour 6 to get from Diridon to Fremont. And how much longer to T1-6 7 8 San Francisco or the East Bay would this be? Every 9 station will add a couple of extra minutes to the time. 10 So I'd really question the need for a Berryessa station or a Calaveras station. I think that we should focus 11 on having the stations where there are connections to 12 13 other transit, like the light rail and other rail 14 lines. 15 Thank you. 16 MOLLY GRAHAM: Thank you. 17 We have David Davenport next. 18 DAVID DAVENPORT: My name is David Davenport, and 19 I'd like to thank everybody for their work on the 20 supplemental EIR. I remember, at the original scoping T1-7 21 meeting, there was some issue on how BART was going to 22 cross Coyote Creek. And I don't know if there was ever a decision made on that, but I'd be curious to hear the 23 24 answer. 25 And also, to reiterate what I said at that

previous meeting, although there's an SEIR, it's not 1 2 necessarily the appropriate document for it. I do feel T1-8 that the two-station downtown option is the best and 3 that there is no need for a Santa Clara station. And 4 5 that's about it. Thanks. 6 7 MOLLY GRAHAM: So we will be answering questions 8 after in a more informal setting. So now it's just 9 been taken down, and we'll follow up with that Coyote 10 Creek question afterward. Richard Tretten? Did you want me to read this 11 12 or did you --RICHARD TRETTEN: No, I'll speak. 13 First of all, I'm very glad that the proposal 14 to have two stations in Downtown San Jose has been 15 T1-9 16 dropped in favor of the one station that's been 17 slightly repositioned -- and to be able to serve San 18 Jose State students as well as businesses and 19 condominiums that are being built downtown. 20 However, my real concern is no parking at the 21 Diridon station. This is ludicrous, as far as I'm T1-10 22 concerned. I live in Willow Glen. I drive to the Diridon station now, take CalTrain to San Francisco. 23 24 Of course, you have the option of taking the 25 Capital corridor trains up the East Bay. But if people

can park at the CalTrain station for CalTrain, and the 1 2 Capital corridor trains, and when you park, you're allowed to park there for 24 hours, but all of a 3 sudden, "Oh, you want BART? You can't park here. You 4 5 have to drive three more miles to the Santa Clara station and park there." 6 7 This makes no sense to me at all. One of the 8 great things about San Jose is, geographically, we're 9 in an excellent position for transportation. We've 10 been becoming a transportation hub. And with the 11 option of having parking at the Diridon station, you 12 may, one day, take a baby bullet train to San 13 Francisco; you may want to return on BART. But your car won't be there because you have to leave your car 14 15 at the Santa Clara station. 16 Or vice versa, if you parked at the Santa 17 Clara station and took BART to the East Bay for some 18 reason and were returning on CalTrain from San 19 Francisco, your train might not stop at Santa Clara. 20 You might have to go to the Diridon station and go back 21 to Santa Clara on BART. 22 I mean, it just makes no sense. There should 23 be parking there. It's a destination place for 24 downtown and for people who live in the area to be able 25 to use parking facilities there.

T1-10 con't.

1 Okay. Thank you. 2 MOLLY GRAHAM: This card I currently have, Robert 3 VanCleef. And I will read your comments. "I am concerned, as always, that I have yet to 4 T1-11 see the VTA present a regional transit plan discussing 5 the integration of BART, light rail, bus, and auto." 6 7 Are there any other people who would like to 8 speak? 9 Why don't you say your name, please. 10 HELEN GARZA: My name is Helen Garza. And I'm very concerned about this because on Mabury and Capitol T1-12 11 12 Avenue, light rail has gone through. And it has 13 damaged the wall -- a brick wall on the corner. And 14 I'm afraid that, when they do light rail, Five Wounds 15 Church is going to be damaged. 16 And this is very important to the people of 17 San Jose. Traffic on Alum Rock Avenue is terrible as T1-13 18 it is right now. And having people come off of 101 and 19 park behind Five Wounds Church is going to be hard. We 20 have a school there. And we're going to have all these 21 transits coming into the area. And I don't think it's 22 safe for our children. People could use the BART to 23 get on and off real quick and take off. So parking is 24 a big problem. 25 We need better services for the bus because T1-14

people -- if you're not on the bus line, you're not 1 2 going to use BART. And the Alum Rock area is -- not T1-14 con't. 3 anymore, but it has in the past been construction workers, people who need their cars for transportation. 4 5 And so I feel that we need to be very, very careful. And we need to look at that real hard because I don't 6 7 think it's good for us right now. 8 MOLLY GRAHAM: Thank you. 9 Any other speaker cards? 10 (No response) MOLLY GRAHAM: At this point, we'll close the 11 12 formal public comment period unless I see any last blue 13 cards. 14 Going once. Going twice. 15 And there will be an opportunity to stay, and 16 the staff will be here to answer any further questions. 17 Tom, we have a follow-up on Coyote Creek with 18 you and any other informal questions. 19 There's also, of course, the comment cards. 20 You do have until March 16th to submit written comments 21 that will also be entered into the formal public 22 record. 23 And we have three more public hearings. It's 24 going to be the same presentation and the same 25 opportunity to provide comments.

```
So thanks again for coming tonight, and feel
1
 2
     free to ask informal questions of the staff.
 3
             At this point, we'll close the formal comment
     period. And thanks again.
 4
 5
           (Whereupon, the proceedings closed at 7:44 p.m.)
 6
 7
                            ---000----
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2	COUNTY OF MARIN)
3	I, DEBORAH FUQUA, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me, a
6	disinterested person, and thereafter transcribed under
7	my direction into typewriting and is a true and correct
8	transcription of said proceedings.
9	${\bf I}$ further certify that ${\bf I}$ am not of counsel or
10	attorney for either or any of the parties in the
11	foregoing proceeding and caption named, nor in any way
12	interested in the outcome of the cause named in said
13	caption.
14	Dated the 6th day of March, 2007.
15	
16	
17	DEBORAH FUQUA
18	CSR NO. 12948
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

RESPONSE TO TRANSCRIPT 1 – FEBRUARY 13, 2007

William Garbet

T1.1 It is assumed the commentor is referring to the potential for liquefaction, of which the primary hazards are seismic induced settlement and temporary increase in lateral earth pressures on below-grade structures. In the downtown San Jose area, the BART tunnel lies below the potential depth of liquefaction. Therefore, it is not anticipated that the tunnel will "sink or fall apart" during such a seismic event.

The aquifer referenced in the comment begins approximately 60 feet below ground surface, with the tunnel generally located above the aquifer. In addition, the aquifer is "thicker" than the twin tunnel bores; therefore, the tunnel would not impede water flow within the aquifer. It should be noted that this aquifer is not composed of brackish water.

T1.2 The ridership estimate is derived using an FTA approved travel forecast model and is the best tool available for sizing and planning transit projects. To maximize ridership, VTA advocates locating stations in downtowns and encouraging high-density transit-oriented development around BART stations. The Downtown San Jose Station serves San Jose State University. The development around the Berryessa Station was initiated by the Flea Market landowner.

The City of San Jose is processing a General Plan Amendment for the Flea Market site. This includes Transit Corridor Residential and other intensified land uses and open spaces.

T1.3 The FEIR certified in December 2004 included a discussion of alternatives (see 2004 FEIR, Section 3.6, Alternatives Considered and Withdrawn). This included an evaluation of various busway, commuter rail, diesel light rail, electric light rail, and BART alternatives. Previously, on November 9, 2001, the VTA Board of Directors selected the BART Alternative as the Preferred Investment Strategy/Locally Preferred Alternative for the Silicon Valley Rapid Transit Corridor.

Christopher Frey

- **T1.4**Refer to Response to Comment P-45.1
- **T1.5** The proposed Diridon/Arena Station provides excellent intermodal transfer opportunities between commuter rail, light rail and bus transit lines. The station also offers opportunities for future high-density transit-oriented developments on surrounding properties. The No Parking Option is based on the premise that it is more cost-effective to encourage transit connections and development opportunities, rather than to build parking structures.

Supplemental analysis was performed to evaluate the effects of the Diridon/Arena Station No Parking Option. Model projections indicate that, with the elimination of the parking structure at the Diridon/Arena Station, the majority of the park-and-ride (PNR) traffic projected to use the Diridon/Arena Station would utilize the Santa Clara Station as an alternative. The results of the analysis show that, with the shift of PNR trips from the Diridon/Arena Station to the Santa Clara Station, operating levels of intersections in the vicinity of the Diridon/Arena Station would improve (as a result of less PNR traffic in the area), with the exception of the intersection of Autumn Street and Julian Street. With the extension of Autumn Street to connect with Coleman Avenue, some of the PNR traffic that would normally use the Diridon/Arena Station would access the Santa Clara Station via the Autumn/Julian intersection. The impact at this intersection would be mitigated to an acceptable level with the addition of a third eastbound through lane on Julian Street.

Following input from the SVRT Policy Advisory Board and further discussions with interested parties, VTA staff will not be making a recommendation regarding parking at the Diridon/Arena Station. VTA will continue to work with the various parties to develop a comprehensive parking management strategy for the area.

T1.6 Refer to Response to Comment P-45.4.

David Davenport

- **T1.7** As described in the 2004 FEIR, BART would cross under Coyote Creek at the East Santa Clara Street bridge in a tunnel. There would be no impact to the bridge, as the tunnel would be deep enough to avoid the bridge foundations. In the SEIR, there has been no change to this design (see Appendix C, Figure C-43).
- **T1.8** The two stations downtown that were approved in the 2004 FEIR were consolidated with the support of the City of San Jose. This change reduces project cost while essentially providing BART service to the same downtown area. Eliminating the Santa Clara Station is not a design option at this time. The station provides connections to the future San Jose International Airport People Mover, Capitol Corridor, and ACE, as well as existing Caltrain, and VTA bus service. This station will also serve the Santa Clara University students and faculty who have a greater propensity to ride transit. Also note, BART tracks with or without a Santa Clara Station would need to extend to the new yard and shops maintenance facility needed to support the Project.

Richard Tretten

- **T1.9** The support for the single downtown station is noted.
- **T1.10** The proposed Diridon/Arena Station provides excellent intermodal transfer opportunities between commuter rail, light rail and bus transit lines. The station also offers opportunities for future high-density transit-oriented developments on surrounding properties. The No Parking Option is based on the premise that it is more cost-effective to encourage transit connections and development opportunities, rather than to build parking structures. The opposition to the No Parking Option is noted.

Following input from the SVRT Policy Advisory Board and further discussions with interested parties, VTA staff will not be making a recommendation regarding parking at the Diridon/Arena Station. VTA will continue to work with the various parties to develop a comprehensive parking management strategy for the area.

Robert Van Cleef as read by Molly Graham

T1.11 Refer to Response to Comment P-37.1.

Helen Garza

- **T1.12** The vibration impacts from Project operations were addressed in the Draft SEIR, Chapter 4, Section 4.12, Noise and Vibration, and were determined to be less significant for Five Wounds Church. The Draft SEIR, Chapter 4, Section 4.18, Construction, pages 259-260 address potential surface settlements related to construction activities. Mitigation measures are provided to reduce impacts. These measures include pre-construction condition surveys of the interiors and exteriors of selected structures, construction monitoring, and post construction repair and/or compensation if required.
- **T1.13** The traffic at the intersection of U.S. 101 and Santa Clara Street/Alum Rock Avenue was determined to have significant unavoidable traffic impacts in 2030 with the Project (see Draft SEIR, Chapter 4, pages 42-43). No cost effective feasible mitigation measure was identified. VTA is currently evaluating a Santa Clara/Alum Rock Transit Improvement Project that includes bus and light rail alternatives to provide improved transit opportunities and reduce traffic congestion along Alum Rock Avenue. VTA will continue to work with the City of San Jose to reduce traffic impacts where feasible and will comply with all traffic safety requirements. The Alum Rock Station does include a 2,500 parking space parking garage. Additional parking supply was shifted to the Berryessa Station.
- **T1.14** The Alum Rock Station includes a bus transit center to facilitate transit transfers. Bus riders are an important portion of the BART boardings and therefore bus service will be continually evaluated to maximize boardings. Refer to Response to Comment T1.13 regarding improvements along Alum Rock Avenue and Santa Clara Street.